

and after four years he returned to England to take up the chair of social biology at the London School of Economics. Among the many noteworthy events of his period in Cape Town was the time when he took a ÔcolouredÕ woman to a ball; only someone who has lived in South Africa can appreciate the horror with which that action would have been received in the late 1920s. Hogben believed in shock tactics, and these were not without their effect on our teenage student from a primÑindeed puritanicalÑhome environment.

In the botany department Ken came under a very different kind of man, a shy and poorly articulate Englishman who had occupied the chair of botany at the University of Sydney a few years previously, R. S. Adamson. While Hogben had turned refractory problem and he received minimal guidance from his nominal supervisors, who at that time were desperately trying to Þnd jobs for their graduates at the height of the Depression. In spite of the fact that his doctoral project yielded four published papers (2Đ5)*, Ken was perhaps lucky to be awarded the degree.

His taxonomic studies at the British Museum were published in two papers on African genera of grasshoppers (6, 7). However, they were not KenÕs Þrst which had been published in 1930 (1), while he was still an undergraduate, in tlSeouth African Journal of Sciencét was a leadup to the MSc thesis that gained him the Purcell Prize).

During one of his sessions at the British Museum in 1935 Ken was visited by A. L. Tonnoir, a Belgian engineer and amateur entomologist who had been appointed to the then CSIR Division of Economic Entomology in Australia by R. J. Tillyard, its Þrst Chief. Tonnoir was looking for a young man to **bll** a recently advertised vacancy for an Assistant Research Ofbcer to carry out investigations on the ÔgrasshopperÖ (really locust) problem in Australia. Ken seemed to have just the kind of gualibcations and interest required and he was encouraged to apply. In due course he was offered the job and arrived in Perth in May 1936.

Just before TonnoirÕs intervention Ken had suffered a rejection without which his life would have taken a very different turn. A vacancy had occurred for an Orthopterist in the British Museum. Ken had several papers on Orthoptera in press, including That problem was essentially the question of where and how locust plagues originate. Its solution could be expected to lead to a more rational and radical strategy for locust control. The way to a solution had been indicated during the 1920s by B. P. Uvarov on the basis of evidence being accumulated on European and African only in 1959 in a joint paper (25) with I. F. B. Common who, with K. R. Norris, had participated in the work.

Ken was awarded a DSc degree by the University of London in 1946 and another by the University of Cape Town in 1962.

After the war Ken turned to the writing up of his considerable data on the taxonomy of Chortoicetesand its nearer relatives in the genusAustroicetes two of which are also minor pests. This work, which is still the basic reference in its Þeld, was published as a small book (18) in 1954. However, as a preliminary, Ken undertook a close examination of aspects of UvarovÕs ÔPhase TheoryÕ of locust outbreaks, with which he was dissatis bed and which had to be claribed for his proposed book. In 1950 he published a ÔCritiqueÕ of the theory. This paper (14) was subsequently widely misquoted by close adherents of the theory, who in general failed to recognize that its main aim was logical claribcation rather than dissent from well established biological facts.

At about this time Ken was making strenuous effor

them guite remote at the time. They hopper subfamily Morabinae, of which included CooperOs Creek (which he identimore than one hundred undescribed Þed as a locust outbreak area), the Nullaspecies had already been collected and bor, and the Simpson Os Desert and which was fantastically variable cyto-Alligator Rivers areas. Hismodus oper- logically; a major cytotaxonomic study of andi was to travel selected routes and stopthis group was being planned. But the Pnal every 10 miles exactly, and to collect for determinants of the move into taxonomy half an hour. In this way he achieved a very came from a different direction. thorough survey of many previously Taxonomy in the Division of Entomounsampled areas of the country. KenÕs verløgy had grown in a haphazard fashion to large collection of Australian grasshoppersmeet increasing demands by workers on required intensive work which he felt other projects. In the process, collections of unable to provide. He therefore asked great importance had been assembled in all James A. G. Rehn, curator of insects at thethe major insect orders and these were Philadelphia Academy of Sciences, to becoming better known to overseas taxonundertake taxonomic revisions of the Aus-omists, who were sent material on loan for tralian fauna. Three volumes were pub-their studies. However, some of these overlished between 1952 and 1957, by which seas workers began to express concern at time Rehn was clearly unable to continue the lack of acknowledged institutional the work. Ken was dissatisbed with the responsibility for these collections, espepace of the project. Also Rehn had no Prst-cially when the lodgement of holotypes hand knowledge of Australia and its with the Division came to be considered. unique ecology. Rather than joining Rehn Pressure from overseas was reinforced by in the completion of the project, Ken recommendations of a Committee of decided to end it in 1963. Later, beginning Review appointed to survey the work of the in 1980, Ken re-examined much of RehnÕoDivision prior to the retirement of work and published a series of papers on Nicholson as Chief. One of these was to the most of the groups (63, 64, 67, 70Đ73). effect that a Curator should be appointed

In 1959 a combination of circumstances with authority to organize a unidof the led to a bnal severing of the connection with Chortoicetesand with ecology generally, and exclusive concentration on taxonomy and its organization within the CSIRO Division of Entomology. The polarization of theoretical ecology in Australia between the schools of A. J. Nicholson, then Chief of the Division of Entomology, and H. G. Andrewartha and L. C. Birch of the Universities of Adelaide and Sydney, was making it very difbcult for a non-joiner in the Division to remain unaligned. Moreover, an inheritor of the Þeld of locust ecology was waiting in the wings in the person of D. P. Clark, who already had a series of studies on the minor pest grasshopperPhaulacridiumvittatumto his credit. The joint projects with M. J. D. White had extended to the remarkable endemic grassKen produced a series of ÔMuseum Circuwere towards the far left and for a time he larsÕ dealing with issues like storage facili-was an active supporter of socialist ideoloties, loans policy, and a range of gies. He held strong views on many topics management procedures, all subsequentlyncluding pets and gardening. When the issued in book form. In 1962 the insect hydatid problem was prevalent in the Cancollections in the Division were placed on berra area, Ken was serious when he suga more permanent footing when the Com-gested that dogs and cats should not be monwealth Government of pcially gazetted permitted in the Australian Capital Territhem as the Australian National Insect tory. He had his own dog put down as an Collection, Ôa national heritage, which it isexample. His strong socialist leanings led the responsibility of the Commonwealth to him to conclude that vegetables and fruits preserve for future studyÕ (Upton, 1997). should not be grown in home gardens

Ken was elected a Fellow of the Ausbecause to do so deprived growers and tralian Academy of Science in 1959. He workers of employment. To his wifeÕs served on the Sectional Committee for amazement and disappointment, Ken Biological Sciences and from 1975 to 1978 was a member of the Academy Council. He was not by nature a committee man, but could not avoid involvement in additional ad hoc or continuing committees of both CSIRO and the Academy. For a number of years he was a member of the Academy Ost YT* 0.142dHLondon.

Advisory Committee, and ne did a stint on the Advisory Committee for theAustralian Journal of Zoology (1964Ð1970). He served on the Board FaciÞc InsectsHe was foundation President of the Ecological Society of Australia and a foundation member of the Australian Entomological Society. He was appointed to the Interim Council of the Biological Resources Study set up in 1973, on which he served for the three years of its existence. Ken was also a member of both the Linnean Society of New South Wales and the Royal Entomological Society of London.

For nine years in the ÕÞfties and Õsixties he was an active member of the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature based in London. He played a leading role in the discussions prior to the revision of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature and for a period was a member of the Editorial Committee formed to produce a further revision in the Õseventies.

KenÖs private life never intruded into his working environment. His political views

- 1957a. A cytotaxonomic study of the pusilla group of species in the genAusstroicetes Uv. (Orthoptera: Acrididae). (With M. J. D. White.)Australian Journal of Zoology 5, 55Đ87.
- 22. 1957b. Kentromorphic phases in three species of PhasmatodeAustralian Journal of Zoology5, 247D284.
- 23.* 1957c. The causes of locust outbreaks.

- 1973. Rediscovery of the spectacular Australian grasshopperPetasida ephippigera White (Orthoptera: Pyrgomorphidae). (With J. H. Calaby.) Journal of the Australian Entomological Society2, 161Đ164.
- 1973: Psednura longicornis Sjšstedt, 1920 (Insecta, Orthoptera): request for use of the plenary powers to set aside all previous lectotype designations and to designate as lectotype a syntype here speciPBdlletin of Zoological Nomenclatura0, 97Đ99.
- 51. 1974. Speciation in the Australian morabine grasshoppersÑtaxonomy and ecology.

- 1986: Host relations and distribution of Australian species of rombella (Acarina: Trombellidae) parasitising grasshoppers. (With R. V. Southcott.) Australian Journal of Zoology34, 647Đ658.
- 1986. Phaulacridium vittatum(Sjšstedt, 1920) (Insecta, Orthoptera): Proposed conservation by suppression Afcridium ambulans Erichson, 1842, Trigoniza manca Bolivar, 1898 andTrigoniza australiensis Bolivar, 1898. ZN(S.) 2524Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature43, 303Đ305.
- 1998a. Use versus priority: comments on a paper by P. F. S. Cornelius, with alternative proposals for the conservation of well-known names.Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature 45, 45Đ46.
- 1988. Book review.Evolutionary Biology of Orthopteroid Insects. M. Baccetti (ed.) Ellis Horwood Ltd: Chichester. 1987. pp. 612. \$85.00Journal of the Australian Entomological Societ. 7, 86.
- 1988. Acrididae Karney, 1907. Oedipodidae Walker, 1870 and Locustidae Latreille,1802 (Insecta, Orthoptera): proposed order of precedendeulletin of Zoological Nomenclature/5, 191D193.
- 1989. Gryllacridoidea StŒl. 1874 (Insecta, Orthoptera): proposed precedence over Stenopelmatoidea Burmeister, 1838ulletin of Zoological Nomenclature6, 25D27.
- 1989. Revision of the genus raxibulus (Orthoptera: Acrididae) Invertebrate Taxonomy3, 1Đ121.
- 199@a. On the identity of ErichsonÕs species 76. 199@a76. 1998m[(.)-k revie